App. F. IX-4

Previous References. 1947-48 (RA) **File No.** RA 24-41

Serial Nos. 72, 73

Later References.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. Two only

R-58 / EK   R-58 / EK

Part B for July 1943.

SUBJECT

Report of the Election Commissioner in RA E Misno 44 of 1940-41.
Mr Subbiah Vs Mr M Sreenivasiah.

To

The Compiler Mysore Gazette.

No. RL 1969 dated 19th Feb 1942

Declares that Mr M. Sreenivasiah is the duly elected candidate to the RA. from the Mandya urban Constituency.

Report of the Election Commissioner in
RAE Mis NO 44 of 40-41

General and Revenue
Secretariat
R. A. & L. C.

RA File No. 759/M of 1941-42

App. F. III-2

Serial No.

Q

OFFICE 7078

Report of the Election Comr dated 8.10.1941 dismissing the petition filed by Mr. Subbiah challenging the election of Mr. Srinivasiah, to the R.A. from the Malavalli town urban constituency

1) The case relates to the election petition filed by one Mr. Subbiah, challenging the election of Mr. Srinivasiah to the R.A. from the Mallavalli town urban constituency. The Election Comr in his report dated 8.10.1941, has dismissed the petition ~~that~~ stating that the rejection of the notice of the candidature of the petitioner by the Returning Officer was quite proper, since he has failed to mention his age and date of birth in ~~the~~ ~~cl~~ column (3) of his nomination paper.

2) Against this decision, the petitioner Mr. Subbiah has not filed an appeal to the High Court with the result the order of the Comr. stands un-altered.

3) A draft G.O. under rule 191(2) of the R.A. rules, declaring that Mr. M. Srinivasiah is the duly elected candidate to the R.A. is subd for appl.

DBR
12/2/42

May be approved
BKR
12.2.42

Law Secy

GOVT. LAW DEPT.
1379
12 FEB. 1942
GOVT OF MYSORE

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

Dewan)
KR 15/2

Hm
14.2.42
BKR
14.2.42

Issue
BKR
16.2.42

Report of the Election Comm. in WAE Nos 44 of 40-41

RA File No. 24 759/R2 of 1941-42

General and Revenue
Secretariat
R. A. & L. C.

	Serial No.	
The Compiler, Mysore Gazette		
Ntfn no RL 1569 RA 24-41-73 dated 19/2/42		
Declares that Mr. M. Srinivasiah is the duly elected candidate to the R.A. from the Mandya Town urban Constituency		Recs 8/10 12/2

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
B
20 JULY 1943 20/7/43
REPRESENTATIVE ASSEMBLY
AND
LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

IN THE COURT OF THE ELECTION COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE, BANGALORE.

Dated this 8th day of October 1941.

Present:

C. NARAYANASWAMY NAIDU, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Election Commissioner,

R. A. E. Mis. No. 44 of 1940-41.

Petitioner:-	Vs.	Respondent:-
Mr. Subbiah, son of Dodda Putta Nanjiah, Cloth-merchant, Malavalli Town, Mandya District.		Mr. M. Srinivasiah, son of M. Subba Rao, Shambogue Malavalli Town, Mandya District.

I. Petition filed under Rule 172 of the Representative Assembly Rules of 1940.

II. The petition was filed before the Government on 7-4-1941 and the same was received in this Court on 19-4-1941.

III. The respondent filed his objections on 26-6-1941.

IV. The following issues were framed in the case on 30-6-1941.

(1) Was the notice of candidature of the petitioner improperly rejected on the grounds (a) that it was not presented in time and (b) that the date of birth of the petitioner was not mentioned in it?

(2) Was it presented in time?

(3) Are not the grounds of rejection according to law?

(4) To what relief, if any, is the petitioner entitled?

V. The case came up for arguments and the same was heard on 11-7-1941. As it was found necessary to let in evidence, arguments were heard again after evidence on 4-10-1941.

VI. List of witness examined and the list of document admitted in evidence are separately filed.

Messrs. Mahobala Rao and M.P. Somasekhara Rao appeared for the petitioner and Messrs. M. Ananthakrishnappa and A.N. Rama Rao appeared for the respondent.

Report.

1. The notice of candidature of the petitioner was rejected on the ground that he did not enter his date of birth in it, and it was not presented in time.

2. The High Court has decided in Mis. Appeal No.12 of 41-42 that both the date of birth and the age should be entered in Column 3 of the notice of candidature.

3. The petitioner stated that he did not know the date of his birth and that therefore he could not enter it in the notice of candidature.

4. The learned Counsel for the petitioner seeks to distinguish this case from the case decided in Mis. Appeal No.12 of 41-42. His contention is that since the petitioner did not know his date of birth and could not ascertain it, it was not necessary for him to enter it in the notice of candidature. He relied upon the observation made by the learned Chief Justice in the Judgment in Mis. Appeal No.12 of 41-42 Viz., " In the present instance we have a candidate, who it is not suggested, was ignorant of the date of his birth but who chose not to state it, although it is clear from the wording of Form III that he was expected to state it and it was the intention of the Government in making the rules and prescribing that form that he should state it."

5.

3. But the context in which this portion appears should be taken into consideration and the whole paragraph in which it appears and also the other portions of the Judgment which have a bearing on the point should be read with it. Particular reference may be made to the following:--

"Not one of us knows of his own knowledge the date of his birth. We have all ascertained it, if we know it, from some one else or from records. In this State for very many years births have been registered both in Municipal Areas and in villages. A very large proportion of the Hindu population have horoscopes, which indicate the dates of their birth. Although a man may not know the date of his birth, when he sets out or decides to be a candidate for election, it does not follow that, if he took the necessary trouble, he should not be able to ascertain it. In fact in this case it has not been suggested before us that the respondent could not have ascertained the date of his birth. He took it upon himself to regard this as an unnecessary requirement in Form III and so he disregarded it. I doubt whether there is any very great hardship, as has been represented and was represented before the Election Commissioner, in requiring candidates to give the dates of their birth as the Government have required under their rule making power."

"Rule 96 provides that a Returning Officer may reject any notice of candidature on the ground that there has been any failure to comply with any of the provisions of rule 89. Can it be reasonably said that the respondent in this case did not fail to comply with any requirement of rule 89. I think it is clear that he did fail to comply with a prescribed requirement — a requirement prescribed by that rule when he did not complete his notice of candidature in Form III by giving the date of his birth as well as his age."

6. It therefore becomes clear that the age and the

date

date of birth should be given in the notice of candidature independent of the question whether the candidate knew the date of birth or not, when he delivered the notice of candidature.

7. The notice of candidature was not therefore improperly rejected.

8. In view of this finding it is not necessary to give a decision on the orther issue.

9. The petitioner may be directed to pay Rs.50/- as costs to the Respondent.

10. I recommend accordingly.

Dictated to the Steno-typist and after revision signed.

ELECTION COMMISSIONER.

Civil 108]

TITLE PAGE.

Class III. File C. ~~1~~ D

In the Court of the Election Commissioner of Mysore Bangalore

Suit (or Case) No. R.A.E. No. 44 of 1954

Name of first plaintiff (or applicant):—

Mr Subbaiah

Name of first defendant (or opponent):—

Mr M. Sreenivasachari

Date of decision 8.10.1954

Civil 107]

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

Suit (or Case) No. R.A.E. Misc. 44. of 1944

Class III File C & D.

Serial number of paper	Sheets	Description	Value of Court fee stamps Rs.	a.	p.	Rem
1	2	3	4			
1	1–4	order sheet				
2	5	Election petition	2	-	-	
3	6	Treasury challan				
4	7	objection of Respondent				
5	8	Issues				
6	9	Notice of Candidature of petitioner				
7	10	Notice of Candidature of Respondent				
8	11	Treasury challan				
9	12	witness list				
10	13	deposition				
11	14	Exhibit list				
12	15	exhibit				
13	16–19	Vakalats				
14	20	Copy application	2	-	-	
15	21	Docket dated 25-5-44 from Amildar Holalkere Taluk.	–	1	–	
	22	postal receipt				
16	23	Court notice				
	24	copy of court docket				
17	25–26	Copy of ~~notice of candi~~ order of R.O				
	27	Memo of petitioner				
18	28–29	Court notice + postal receipt				
	30	Treasury challan				
	31	Draft of court docket				
	32	postal letter Amildar				
	33	docket of [illegible]				
	34	postal [illegible]				
	35	Memo of Dept				

Total value of Court fee stamps		Rs.	a.	p.
	On plaint	2	-	-
	On other papers	2	1	-

13 - 0

Venkatappa
Sheristedar

n No. 162,
Civil.

Subbaiah

H S M. Srinivasacarl

ORDER SHEET

he Court of The Election Commissioner in Mysore.

Mi No. 44 of 1944

Versus

Order or proceeding with signature of presiding officer	Signature of parties or pleaders when necessary
Election petition under Rule 172 of the Representative Assembly Rules is filed before the Government on 7.4.1944 and the same has been received in this Court on 19.4.1944. Petition as in respect of Malavalli (Mandya District) Town urban Constituency filed praying that the Election of the Respondent be set aside and fresh Election ordered. Issue notice to parties. Issue petition copy for publication in the official Gazette and call for documents	
19/5 Before Mrs M. P. Srinivasachar... notice to respondent ... received from Returning Officer	

Objections 28/6/41

Ans
7/6/41

28/6/41 Petr by Mr. M.P.S.
Respt — Mr. A.N.R
Rejoinder [struck: filed]

Issues 30

Ans 6
28

30-6-41 Petr by Mr. M. P. S.
" Respt by Mr. A.N. & R.

Issues framed —

Hrgs 9-7-41

Ans 6
30/41

9/7/41 Petr by Mr. M.P.S. Rao
respt by Mr. A.N. Ra Rao by Mr N... for ...
Arguments on 11-7-41
as further time is required

Ans
9/7

11/7/41 Petr by Mr. M.P.S. Rao
Respt by Mr A.N. A. Rao
Arguments heard
For Evidence re. ... ...
a date will be given on 15-7

Form No. 102,
Civil.

ORDER SHEET

In the Court of

No. A RAT of 19
49

Serial No. of paper	Date of order or proceeding	Order or proceeding with signature of presiding officer	Signature of parties or pleaders when necessary
2		Petr by ~~M.P.S~~ Respt by ~~A.N. R. Rao~~	14-8-41
			on 15-8-41 ~~1-8-41~~ at Manty.
			ans 15-7
		Petr firm. Respt firm M.N.A. files power. No steps taken by both parties. The resp nor does not want to adduce any evidence. The petitioner & his Counsel are absent. Bring up tomor	

12-8-41 Petr ~~[illegible]~~ absent again
Respt [illegible] ~~H.N.A~~ in person
adjourned to tomorrow
[illegible] 12-8

13-8-41 Petr ab

Respt by Mr: M. Person
The petr appears to be
under a mistake
Court notice to him
by 13-8
[illegible] 13-8

CN sent ~~by~~ Reg post with No. 1631 13/8/41

Petr by Mr. M. Mahabala Rao. files vakalath yesterday
Respt by Mr: M. Anantha Krishnappa

Court Notice to ~~Respondent~~ Petitioner by Registered post not returned.
The petitioner & respt in person
The Respondent admits that the petitioner has given correct [illegible] in his notice of candidature.

Form No. 102,
Civil.

ORDER SHEET

R A E nos 41
40-K

In the Court of

No. of 19

Versus

Serial No. of paper	Date of order or proceeding	Order or proceeding with signature of presiding officer	Signature of parties or pleaders when necessary
		or examined himself. The petitioner means that he is 35 years old & the resp't admits it. Args on other issues heard already. Bring up with connected cases on 22/4/41	
		pets [illegible] ab [illegible] 15/8	
		Respondent & Mr. M. N. A [illegible] [illegible] al	
		Bring up [illegible] 15/4/41 [illegible]	

WD 07232—GPB—1,55,000—5-7-40

Righ can i is likely
to ccccde the connected
question of law e
in Misc A. 12
41-42

[illegible]
29

15-9-41 Petr by ~~M. M. Rao~~ ab
Respt by ~~Ananthakrishnappa~~ ab
Await copy of judgment
in Misc AP 12 41/42 and bring up on 18/9/41
[illegible]
15-9

18/9/41 Petr
Respt } ab

For same reason. Await 27-9-41
[illegible]
18-9

27-9-41 Petr by M: ~~M. M. Rao~~ ab
Respt by M: ~~Ananthakrishnappa~~ ab

The copy of the judgment
Misc AP 12 41/42 is just received
I shall hear further
arguments if any on
& peruse the papers
[illegible]
27-9

rm No. 102,
Civil.

ORDER SHEET

the Court of

No. RAE mis 44 / 1941 of 19

Versus

ial No. paper	Date of order or proceeding	Order or proceeding with signature of presiding officer	Signature of parties or pleaders when necessary
	4-10-41	petr by Mr. ~~[illegible]~~ M.P.S. Respt by Mr. ~~[illegible]~~ absent Further arguments heard [illegible] on 7-10 [illegible] 7-10	
	7-10-41	petr } absent Respt. } Copy of judgement in Mis. AP 12/41-42 filed in L.C.E. mis 10/40-41. Bring up this case along with that case on 8/10/ [illegible] 7-10	
	8-10-41	petr by Mr. M.P.S. absent Respt by Mr. absent Reserved for orders [illegible] [illegible] 8-10	

WD 07232—GPB—1,55,000—5-7-40



Before the Government of His Highness The Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

R.A.E. Peti No 44 40-41 (Mandya Urban)

Election Petition No. 4 of 40-41. (Mandya U.)

Petitioner:		Opponent:
Subbiah, Son of Doddaputtananjiah, Cloth-merchant, Malavalli Town, Mandya District.	Vs	M.Srinivasaiah, S/O M.Subba Rao, Shanbogue, Malavalli Town, Mandya District.

Under Rule 172 of the Mysore Rep.Assembly Rules1940.

The Petitioner above named begs to state as follows:-

1. That petitioner had filed his notice of candidature for election to the R.A. from Malavalli Town Urban Constituency.

2. That his notice was rejected on 10-1-41 at the scrutiny on the grounds that (i) the petitioner was presented out of time and (ii) the date of birth has not been given.

3. That the reasons given for rejection are untenable. (i) The Petitioner was presented on 2-1-41 and even if it was presented after 3 P.M. on that date it must be deemed to have been presented between 11 A.M. & 3 P.M. on the next day 3-1-41 which is in time. The petitioner need not have been received at all or if received must be treated

as having been received at 11 A.M. the next day.

(ii) The date of birth was not given because the petitioner did not himself know it. He had given his age as 35 years, that is far and beyond the age limit prescribed for candidature. The age given has not been doubted and therefore the date of birth was not material. The petitioner, like most villagers, did not know the date of birth and that circumstance by itself cannot deprive him of his right to stand as a candidate. The rule requiring mention of date of birth is directory and not mandactory.

4. That the rejection is thus improper and has materially affected the result of the election in that the opponent was declared elected unopposed.

5. That the election of the opponent is thus liable to be set aside. Henee this petitioner the Petitioner has produced herewith Treasury Receipt No. 80 dated 4.4.41 of the Taluk Treasury at Mysore to deposit for Rs. 100/- as required.

6. That the petitioner, therefore, prays that the election of the opponent may be set aside and fresh election ordered.

Mysore City
4-4-1941.

Verification:

I, Subbiah, the petitioner above named do hereby solemnly declare that what is stated above is true to the best of my knowledge.

M y s o r e.
4-4-1941.

FIN. 2, ARTICLE 5.] ORIGINAL (ಅಸಲು) [M. C. A. C., Vol. I.

ಖಜಾನೆಗೆ ಹಣ ಪಾವತಿ ಮಾಡುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಚಲನ.

Chellan for Payment of Money into the Treasury.

This receipt is not binding or valid on Government unless it is signed by the (1) Accountant / Sheristedar and (2) Treasurer or Treasury Officer for sums over Rs. 500 / Shroff

68

In ~~District~~ / Taluk Treasury. 80 / 4.4.41

No. .... ಖಜಾನೆಯ ಹೆಸರು.

Chellan for payment of money into the Treasury at Mysore Taluk

By whom paid ಯಾರಿಂದ	On what account ಯಾವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ	Head of account in the treasury accounts ಯಾವ ರೆಖ್ಖಕ್ಕೆ ಜಮಾ ಆಗತಕ್ಕದ್ದು	Amount ಮೊಬಲಗು Rs. ರೂ.	a. ಆ.	p. ಪೈ
Doddaputtaiah, Bichari, Dalavalli	Deposited Rupees one hundred to file Election petition before the Government of Mysore Bangalore.	R. D	100	.	
(in words) ಮೊಬಲಗು ಅಕ್ಷರದಲ್ಲಿ	Rs one hundred only	Total .... ಜುಮ್ಲಾ	100	.	

Date 4/4/1941.

[illegible] Signature of Remitter ಹಣ ಪಾವತಿ ಮಾಡುವವರ ರುಜು

Received Rupees [illegible]

and credited under Revenue Deposit (vide item No. 66 of subsidiary register for ....)

4.4.41 80

Treasury

Dated 4/4/1941

TALUK TREASURY MYSORE

Initials of Treasurer / Shroff. Initials of Head Accountant / Nagadi Gumasta. Signature of Treasury Officer

FORM 2.

Instructions. (*Vide* Article 5, Mysore Civil Account Co
Volume I.)

1. Any person paying money into a Government Treasury v
present with it a chellan in this form which wil show distinctly t
nature of payment, the person or officer on whose account it is ma
and the head of account in the treasury accounts to which t
amount has to be credited, and will thus contain all the informati
necessary for the preparation of the receipt to be given in exchang

2. The chellan should be presented in duplicate. One co
Original) will be returned to the tenderer duly signed as a recei
and the other (Duplicate) retained in the Treasury for record.

3. Receipts for sums less than Rs. 500 do not require t
signature of the Treasury Officer but only of the Accountant and t
Treasurer, except receipts for money paid for service stamps, whi
should always be signed by the Treasury Officer. Receipts in a Tal
Treasury will be signed by Sheristedar and Shroff.

4. Receipts should bear the name of the treasury and
stamped with the treasury stamp (seal). It should distinctly sh
the date of issue, the designation of the officer granting it and t
head of account to which the amount was credited.

In the Court of the Election Commissioner
in Mysore, Banalore.
R.A.E. Mis.No.44 of 40 -41 .

Petitioner: Respondent:
Subbiah Vs. M. Srinivasaiah.

Objections on behalf of the
Respondent.

1. The allegation in para one of the petiti
is correct.

2. The allegation in para 2 of the petition
is also true.

3. The respondent does not agree with the contentions of the petitioner in para 3(i) o: the petition and the Returning Officers decision is quite correct and legal in view of the provisions contained in Rule 89 and 90 of the Representative Assembly Manual.

4. The allegation contained in para 3 (ii) of the petition are self explanatory and the mistatement of age is a valid ground for rejection of the election candidature application as admitted by the petitioner. The rule requiring mention of date of birth is mandatory and not directory as alleged by the petitioner.

5. The rejection is not improper and no grounds are made out for a fresh election being ordered.

6. Under these circumstances the petition may be dismissed with costs .

Bangalore, Respondent.

28/6/1941.

Advocate for respondent.

I, the respondent above named do hereby solemnly declare that what is stated above is true to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

Bangalore, Respondent.

28/6/1941.

IN THE COURT OF THE ELECTION COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE

BANGALORE!

R. A. E. Mis. 44 of 1940-41.

Petitioner:- Vs. Respondent:-

Subbiah. M. Srinivasiah.

I s s u e s.

1. Was the notice of candidature of the petitioner improperly rejected on the grounds (a) that it was not presented in ~~the~~ time and (b) that the date of birth of the petitioner was not mentioned in it?

2. Was it presented in time?

3. Are not the grounds of rejection according to law?

4. To what relief, if any, is the petitioner entitled?

Election Commissioner.

30/6/41

[illegible] Returning Officer 3/1/41

9

IIIನೆಯ ನಮೂನೆ.

ಉಮೇದುವಾರನಾಗಿ ನಿಲ್ಲಲು ಇಷ್ಟವನ್ನು ಸೂಚಿಸಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸುವ ಉಮೇದುವಾರಿಕೆ ನೋಟೀಸು.

(89ನೆಯ ನಿಬಂಧನೆ.)

ಪ್ರಜಾಪ್ರತಿನಿಧಿ ಸಭೆ.

1.	ಉಮೇದುವಾರನು ಚುನಾವಣೆಗಾಗಿ ನಿಲ್ಲಬೇಕೆಂದು ಇಷ್ಟಪಡುವ ಚುನಾವಣೆ ಸ್ಥಾನದ ಹೆಸರು	[illegible]
2.	ತಂದೆಯ ~~ಗಂಡನ~~ ಹೆಸರು	[illegible]
3.	ವಯಸ್ಸು ಮತ್ತು ಹುಟ್ಟಿದ ತಾರೀಖು	35 ವರ್ಷಗಳು.
4.	ವಿಳಾಸ	[illegible]
5.	ಗಂಡಸೇ ಅಥವಾ ಹೆಂಗಸೇ	[illegible]
6.	* ಯಾವ ಪಂಗಡಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದವರು	[illegible]
7.	† ಚುನಾವಣೆದಾರರ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಉಮೇದುವಾರರ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ	358

ನಾನು ಚುನಾವಣೆಗಾಗಿ ಉಮೇದುವಾರನಾಗಿ ~~ಉಮೇದುವಾರಳಾಗಿ~~ ನಿಲ್ಲಲು ಅರ್ಹತೆಯನ್ನು ಪಡೆದಿರುತ್ತೇನೆಂದು ಪ್ರಮಾಣಪೂರ್ವಕವಾಗಿ ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ. ನನ್ನ ಚುನಾವಣೆ ಮುತಾಲೀಕರನ್ನಾಗಿ (ಎಲೆಕ್ಷನ್ ಏಜೆಂಟರನ್ನಾಗಿ) [illegible] ರನ್ನು ನೇಮಕಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ತಾರೀಖು 2 ... 1 ... 1941

[illegible]
ಉಮೇದುವಾರನ ~~ಉಮೇದುವಾರಳ~~ ರುಜು.

ರಿಟರ್ನಿಂಗ್ ಆಫೀಸರವರಿಗಾಗಲಿ ಅಥವಾ ಇದನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಪಡೆದಿರುವ ಇತರ ಆಫೀಸರಿಗಾಗಲಿ, ಅವರ ಕಛೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ 1941ನೆಯ ಇಸವಿ ಜನವರಿ ತಿಂಗಳಿನ 3 (ಮೂರನೆಯ) ತಾರೀಖಿನ ದಿನ ಅಥವಾ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಮುಂಚೆ ಬೆಳಗ್ಗೆ ಹನ್ನೊಂದು ಗಂಟೆಯಿಂದ ಮಧ್ಯಾಹ್ನ ಮೂರು ಗಂಟೆಯೊಳಗಾಗಿ ತಲಪುವಂತೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದರೆ ಹೊರತು, ಉಮೇದುವಾರರಾಗಿ ನಿಲ್ಲಲು ಇಷ್ಟವನ್ನು ಸೂಚಿಸಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸತಕ್ಕ ಈ ಉಮೇದುವಾರಿಕೆ ನೋಟೀಸು ಸಿಂಧುವಾಗತಕ್ಕದಲ್ಲ.

(ಹಿಂಭಾಗದಲ್ಲಿ ಮುದ್ರಿಸಿರುವ ಸರ್ಟಿಫಿಕೇಟುಗಳನ್ನು ದಯವಿಟ್ಟು ನೋಡಿ.)

ಸೂಚನೆ.—* ಈ ಕಲಮಿನಲ್ಲಿ, ಮುಸಲ್ಮಾನ ಪಂಗಡಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದವರೆ, ಭಾರತೀಯ ಕ್ರೈಸ್ತ ಪಂಗಡಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದವರೆ, ಯೂರೋಪಿಯನ್ ಪಂಗಡಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದವರೆ, ಆಂಗ್ಲೋ-ಇಂಡಿಯನ್ ಪಂಗಡಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದವರೆ ಅಥವಾ ಸಾರ್ವತ್ರಿಕ ಪಂಗಡಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದವರೆ, ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ನಮೂದಿಸಬೇಕು. ವಿಶೇಷ ಸ್ವಭಾವದ ಚುನಾವಣೆ ಸ್ಥಾನಗಳ ಸಂಬಂಧದಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಕಲಮನ್ನು ಭರ್ತಿ ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗಿಲ್ಲ.

† ಚುನಾವಣೆದಾರರುಗಳ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯನ್ನು ಒಳವಿಭಾಗ ಮಾಡಿರುವಾಗ ಮತ್ತು ಪ್ರತಿ ಒಳವಿಭಾಗದಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ದಾಖಲಾಗಿರುವ ಚುನಾವಣೆದಾರರುಗಳಿಗೆ ಪ್ರತ್ಯೇಕವಾದ ಜಂಜರು ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವಾಗ ಈ ಉಮೇದುವಾರನ ಹೆಸರು ದಾಖಲಾಗಿರುವ ಒಳವಿಭಾಗದ ವಿವರಣೆಯನ್ನೂ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರಬೇಕು.

ಒಂದು ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವ ಚುನಾವಣೆ ಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಬೇಕಾದರೂ ಉಮೇದುವಾರನಾಗಿ ನಿಲ್ಲಲು ಹಕ್ಕುಳ್ಳ ನಿಮ್ನ ತರಗತಿಯ ಒಬ್ಬ ಉಮೇದುವಾರನ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಾದರೆ, ಅತನು ಚುನಾವಣೆದಾರನೆಂದು ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟರಾಗಿರುವ ಚುನಾವಣೆದಾರರ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯು ಸಂಬಂಧಪಡುವ ಚುನಾವಣೆ ಸ್ಥಾನದ ಹೆಸರನ್ನು ಮತ್ತು ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಅತನಿಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನೂ ಈ ಕಲಮಿನಲ್ಲಿ ನಮೂದಿಸಬೇಕು.

(ಉಮೇದುವಾರನಾಗಿ ನಿಲ್ಲಲು ಇಷ್ಟವನ್ನು ಸೂಚಿಸಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸುವ ಉಮೇದುವಾರಿಕೆ ನೋಟೀಸಿನ ನಮೂನೆಯ ಹಿಂಭಾಗ.)

ಬಂದು ತಲುಪಿದುದರ ಸರ್ಟಿಫಿಕೇಟ್.

ಜಂಜರು ನಂಬರು 6

ಈ ಉಮೇದುವಾರಿಕೆ ನೋಟೀಸು 19 41 ನೆಯ ಇಸವಿ ಜನವರಿ ತಿಂಗಳು 2 ತಾರೀಖಿನ 3.50 ಗಂಟೆಯ ಸಮಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನನ್ನ ಕಛೇರಿಗೆ ತಲುಪಿಸಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿತು.

[illegible] 10.1.41 [illegible]
[illegible] 3-50 [illegible]
[illegible] 2-1-41

[signature] 2/1/41.

ರಿಟರ್ನಿಂಗ್ ಆಫೀಸರವರ ಅಥವಾ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಪಡೆದಿರುವ ಇತರರ ರುಜು.

ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆಯ ಸರ್ಟಿಫಿಕೇಟ್.

ಈತನು ಉಮೇದುವಾರನಾಗಿ ನಿಲ್ಲಲು ಅರ್ಹತೆಯನ್ನು ಪಡೆದಿರುತ್ತಾನೆಯೆ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ನಾನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ನೋಡಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಈತನು ಚುನಾವಣೆಗಾಗಿ ನಿಲ್ಲಲು ಅರ್ಹತೆಯನ್ನು ಪಡೆದಿರುತ್ತಾನೆಂದು ನನಗೆ ನನ್ನ ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆಯಿಂದ ಕಂಡುಬಂದಿದೆ.

ತಾರೀಖು..........................19

ರಿಟರ್ನಿಂಗ್ ಆಫೀಸರವರ ಅಥವಾ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಪಡೆದಿರುವ ಇತರರ ರುಜು.

I have scrutinised the eligibility of the candidate and find the following irregularities in the notice of candidature.

1. The notice of candidature was delivered in person on 2.1.41 at 3.50 P.M. and not between the hours of 11 o'clock in the forenoon and 3 o'clock in the afternoon as required by Rule 89 of Mysore R.A. Rules 1940.

2. On account of omission to note the date of birth in the notice of candidature cannot be considered as having been completed in the manner prescribed in Form IV as required by rule 89.

As on account of these irregularities there has been failure to comply with the provisions of Rule 89, I hold that the notice of candidature is not valid and therefore reject it under Rule 96 cl. 1(b) read with rule 89.

[signature]
Returning Officer
10/1/41

[illegible] copy of [illegible] in [illegible] Rule 94 [illegible]
[illegible] Returning Officer
3/1/41

10

IIIನೆಯ ನಮೂನೆ.

ಉಮೇದುವಾರನಾಗಿ ನಿಲ್ಲಲು ಇಷ್ಟವನ್ನು ಸೂಚಿಸಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸುವ ಉಮೇದುವಾರಿಕೆ ನೋಟೀಸು.

(89ನೆಯ ನಿಬಂಧನೆ.)

ಪ್ರಜಾಪ್ರತಿನಿಧಿ ಸಭೆ.

1. ಉಮೇದುವಾರನು ಚುನಾವಣೆಗಾಗಿ ನಿಲ್ಲಬೇಕೆಂದು ಇಷ್ಟಪಡುವ ಚುನಾವಣೆ ಸ್ಥಾನದ ಹೆಸರು	[illegible]
2. ತಂದೆಯ / ಗಂಡನ ಹೆಸರು	M. [illegible]
3. ವಯಸ್ಸು ಮತ್ತು ಹುಟ್ಟಿದ ತಾರೀಖು	(32) 7-12-1908
4. ವಿಳಾಸ	[illegible]
5. ಗಂಡಸೇ ಅಥವಾ ಹೆಂಗಸೇ	ಗಂಡಸು.
6. * ಯಾವ ಪಂಗಡಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದವರು	[illegible]
7. † ಚುನಾವಣೆದಾರರ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಉಮೇದುವಾರರ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ	7

ನಾನು ಚುನಾವಣೆಗಾಗಿ ಉಮೇದುವಾರನಾಗಿ / ಉಮೇದುವಾರಳಾಗಿ ನಿಲ್ಲಲು ಅರ್ಹತೆಯನ್ನು ಪಡೆದಿರುತ್ತೇನೆಂದು ಪ್ರಮಾಣಪೂರ್ವಕವಾಗಿ ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ. ನನ್ನ ಚುನಾವಣೆ ಮುತಾಲೀಕರನ್ನಾಗಿ (ಎಲೆಕ್ಷನ್ ಏಜೆಂಟರನ್ನಾಗಿ) ....ನನ್ನನ್ನೇ.......... ....ರನ್ನು ನೇಮಕಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ತಾರೀಖು 31-12-1940

[illegible]
Shanbougue
ಉಮೇದುವಾರನ / ಉಮೇದುವಾರಳ ರುಜು.

ರಿಟರ್ನಿಂಗ್ ಆಫೀಸರವರಿಗಾಗಲಿ ಅಥವಾ ಇದನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಪಡೆದಿರುವ ಇತರ ಆಫೀಸರಿಗಾಗಲಿ, ಅವರ ಕಛೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ 1941ನೆಯ ಇಸವಿ ಜನವರಿ ತಿಂಗಳಿನ 3 (ಮೂರನೆಯ) ತಾರೀಖಿನ ದಿನ ಅಥವಾ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಮುಂಚೆ ಬೆಳಗ್ಗೆ ಹನ್ನೊಂದು ಗಂಟೆಯಿಂದ ಮಧ್ಯಾಹ್ನ ಮೂರು ಗಂಟೆಯೊಳಗಾಗಿ ತಲಪುವಂತೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದರೆ ಹೊರತು, ಉಮೇದುವಾರರಾಗಿ ನಿಲ್ಲಲು ಇಷ್ಟವನ್ನು ಸೂಚಿಸಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸತಕ್ಕ ಈ ಉಮೇದುವಾರಿಕೆ ನೋಟೀಸು ಸಿಂಧುವಾಗತಕ್ಕುದಲ್ಲ.

(ಹಿಂಭಾಗದಲ್ಲಿ ಮುದ್ರಿಸಿರುವ ಸರ್ಟಿಫಿಕೇಟುಗಳನ್ನು ದಯಾಯಿಟ್ಟು ನೋಡಿ.)

ಸೂಚನೆ.—* ಈ ಕಲಮಿನಲ್ಲಿ, ಮುಸಲ್ಮಾನ ಪಂಗಡಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದವರೆ, ಭಾರತೀಯ ಕ್ರೈಸ್ತ ಪಂಗಡಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದವರೆ, ಯೂರೋಪಿಯನ್ ಪಂಗಡಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದವರೆ, ಆಂಗ್ಲೋ-ಇಂಡಿಯನ್ ಪಂಗಡಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದವರೆ ಅಥವಾ ಸಾರ್ವತ್ರಿಕ ಪಂಗಡಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದವರೆ, ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ನಮೂದಿಸಬೇಕು. ವಿಶೇಷ ಸ್ವಭಾವದ ಚುನಾವಣೆ ಸ್ಥಾನಗಳ ಸಂಬಂಧದಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಕಲಮನ್ನು ಭರ್ತಿ ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗಿಲ್ಲ.

† ಚುನಾವಣೆದಾರರುಗಳ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯನ್ನು ಒಳವಿಭಾಗ ಮಾಡಿರುವಾಗ ಮತ್ತು ಪ್ರತಿ ಒಳವಿಭಾಗದಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ದಾಖಲಾಗಿರುವ ಚುನಾವಣೆದಾರರುಗಳಿಗೆ ಪ್ರತ್ಯೇಕವಾದ ನಂಬರು ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವಾಗ ಈ ಉಮೇದುವಾರನ ಹೆಸರು ದಾಖಲಾಗಿರುವ ಒಳವಿಭಾಗದ ವಿವರಣೆಯನ್ನೂ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರಬೇಕು.

ಒಂದು ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವ ಚುನಾವಣೆ ಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಬೇಕಾದರೂ ಉಮೇದುವಾರನಾಗಿ ನಿಲ್ಲಲು ಹಕ್ಕುಳ್ಳ ನಿಮ್ನ ತರಗತಿಯ ಒಬ್ಬ ಉಮೇದುವಾರನ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಾದರೆ, ಆತನು ಚುನಾವಣೆದಾರನೆಂದು ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟರಾಗಿರುವ ಚುನಾವಣೆದಾರರ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯು ಸಂಬಂಧಪಡುವ ಚುನಾವಣೆ ಸ್ಥಾನದ ಹೆಸರನ್ನು ಮತ್ತು ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಆತನಿಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನೂ ಈ ಕಲಮಿನಲ್ಲಿ ನಮೂದಿಸಬೇಕು.

(ಉಮೇದುವಾರನಾಗಿ ನಿಲ್ಲಲು ಇಷ್ಟವನ್ನು ಸೂಚಿಸಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸುವ ಉಮೇದುವಾರಿಕೆ ನೋಟೀಸಿನ ನಮೂನೆಯ ಹಿಂಭಾಗ.)

ಬಂದು ತಲಪಿದುದರ ಸರ್ಟಿಫಿಕೇಟ್.

ಜಂಜರು ನಂಬರು........7.........

ಈ ಉಮೇದುವಾರಿಕೆ ನೋಟೀಸು 19...41...ನೆಯ ಇಸವಿ ...ಜನವರಿ...ತಿಂಗಳು...... ತಾರೀಖಿನ...1.15...ಗಂಟೆಯ ಸಮಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನನ್ನ ಕಛೇರಿಗೆ ತಲುಪಿಸಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿತು.

[illegible] 10.1.41 [illegible]

[illegible]

3.1.41

1.15 P.M.

[illegible signature] 3/1/41

ರಿಟರ್ನಿಂಗ್ ಆಫೀಸರವರ ಅಥವಾ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ದಿರುವ ಇತರರ ರುಜು.

ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆಯ ಸರ್ಟಿಫಿಕೇಟ್.

ಈತನು ಉಮೇದುವಾರನಾಗಿ ನಿಲ್ಲಲು ಅರ್ಹತೆಯನ್ನು ಪಡೆದಿರುತ್ತಾನೆಯೆ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ನಾನು ಪರಿಶೀ ನೋಡಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಈತನು ಚುನಾವಣೆಗಾಗಿ ನಿಲ್ಲಲು ಅರ್ಹತೆಯನ್ನು ಪಡೆದಿರುತ್ತಾನೆಂದು ನನಗೆ ನನ್ನ ಪರಿಶೀ ಯಿಂದ ಕಂಡುಬಂದಿದೆ.

ತಾರೀಖು......10/1...............19 41

[illegible signature]

ರಿಟರ್ನಿಂಗ್ ಆಫೀಸರವರ ಅಥವಾ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ದಿರುವ ಇತರರ ರುಜು.

'inl. 2, ARTICLE 5.] ORIGINAL (ಅಸಲು) 11 [M. C. A. C., Vol.1. 6

ಖಜಾನೆಗೆ ಹಣ ಪಾವತಿ ಮಾಡುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಚಲನ್.

Chellan for Payment of Money into the Treasury.

This receipt is not binding or valid on Government unless it is signed by the (1) Accountant/Sheristedar and (2) Treasurer or Treasury Officer for sums over Rs. 500 / Shroff

in District/Taluk Treasury. 419 31/12 ... 21 31/12/40

No. .... ಖಜಾನೆಯ ಹೆಸರು

Chellan for payment of money into the Treasury at.....Malavalli.......

By whom paid ಯಾರಿಂದ	On what account ಯಾವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ	Head of account in the treasury accounts ಯಾವ ಲೆಖ್ಖಕ್ಕೆ ಜಮಾ ಆಗತಕ್ಕದ್ದು	Amount ಮೊಬಲಗು Rs. ರೂ.	a. ಆ.	p. ಪೈ
M. Sreenivasaiah Shanbhogue Malavalli	Deposit amount for Representative Assembly member in Malavalli urban area		150	0	0
(In words) ಮೊಬಲಗು ಅಕ್ಷರದಲ್ಲಿ	Rupees one hundred and fifty only	Total ಜುಮ್ಲಾ	150	0	0

TALUK TREASURY 31 DEC. 1940 MALAVALLI

Date 31 - 12 1940.
ತಾರೀಕು

Received Rupees....150-0-0........

Signature of Remitter. Shanbogue
ಹಣ ಪಾವತಿ ಮಾಡುವವರ ರುಜು.

and credited under............ ....(vide item No. ....21.........of subsidiary register for..............................) 31/12/40

Treasury }
..31/12....1940. }

Initials of Treasurer./Shroff.

Initials of Head Accountant./Nagadi Gumasta.

Signature of Treasury Officer.

FORM 2.

Instructions. (*Vide* Article 5, Mysore Civil Account Code, Volume I.)

1. Any person paying money into a Government Treasury will present with it a chellan in this form which will show distinctly the nature of payment, the person or officer on whose account it is made and the head of account in the treasury accounts, to which the amount has to be credited, and will thus contain all the information necessary for the preparation of the receipt to be given in exchange.

2. The chellan should be presented in duplicate. One copy (Original) will be returned to the tenderer duly signed as a receipt and the other (Duplicate) retained in the Treasury for record.

3. Receipts for sums less than Rs. 500 do not require the signature of the Treasury Officer but only of the Accountant and the Treasurer, except receipts for money paid for service stamps, which should always be signed by the Treasury Officer. Receipts in a Taluk Treasury will be signed by Sheristedar and Shroff.

4. Receipts should bear the name of the treasury and be stamped with the treasury stamp (seal). It should distinctly show the date of issue, the designation of the officer granting it and the head of account to which the amount was credited.

WD 0982—GPB—1,400 books of 100 pages each—22-10-40

In the Court of the Election Commissioner
In Mysore
Camp. Bangalore.

R.A.E No 44 of 1940-41

Petetioner	vs	Respondent
Mr Subbiah		Mr M. Sreenivasiah

List of witnesses examined in the Case

For the Petitioner

1. Mr ~~Dt~~ Subbiah (Petitioner)

For the Respondent

Nil

Election Commissioner

13

... THE COURT OF The Election Commission Camp

Memorandum of the evidence of witness

No. 1 for Petr taken this 15th

day of August 1941

Witness' age appears to be about 35

[M]y name is Mr. Subba Iyyer (Petr)

[M]y father's name is

[I] am by caste and by occupation

[I] reside at

[T]aluk

[D]istrict

Duly Sworn

I am aged 35 years.
I know of this fact
I passed my L.S.
examination in 1920
I produce my certificate
Ex P.
X n nil
[illegible]

List of documents admitted in evidence.
(Form No. 114 (Civil))

14

IN THE COURT OF the Election ~~At~~ Commissioner In Mysore
Camp. Mandya

R. A. E. Mis ~~SUIT~~ NO. 44 OF 19~~3~~40–19~~3~~41.

Mr Subbiah ~~Plaintiff~~ Petitioner } vs. { Mr M. Sreenivasia ~~Defendant.~~ Respondent

LIST OF DOCUMENTS ADMITTED IN EVIDENCE FOR THE ~~PLAINTIFF (OR DEFENDANT)~~ Petitioner.

Distinguishing letter or member	Description of documents	Date of admission	Remarks
1	2	3	4
Exhibit A.	Certificate of M.L.S. examination held in April 1920.	15-8-40	

~~Judge~~ Election Commissioner

Mysore-Lower-Secondary Examination.

This is to certify that Subbaiah

son of Puttananjaiah

appeared at the Mysore Lower Secondary Examination held at Mysore *in April* 1920, *that he was examined in the following subjects:—*

(1) Kannada — *Compulsory Language,*
(2) Kannada — *Vernacular Composition.*
(3) *Indian History and Geography.*
(4) *Arithmetic.*

(5) – — *Optional Language.*
(6) Hygiene — *Optional Subject or Subjects.*
(7) Agriculture.

and that he passed in the Second *Class.*

For the Committee,

J. R. Red

President.

Dated, Bangalore,

The NOV 15 1920

WD 3141—GPB—3,100—28-6-16

COURT FEE

MYSORE

EIGHT ANNAS

11 AUG 1941

In the Court of the Realisation Commissioner in Mysore (Camp) Mandya

~~ಸ 194 194 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ~~ ನಂಬರು. of

R. A. E. Mis no. 464 of 40-41.

~~ವಾದಿ-ಫಿರ್ಯಾದಿ~~ ~~ಅರ್ಜೀದಾರ್~~ Applt

Subbaiya

V.S.

ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ-~~ಅಪರಾಧಿ~~ ಆಪೋಸೆಂಟ್ Respt

M. Sreeni vasaiah.

ಲಾಯರ್ಸ್:— ಮ|| ರಾ|| S. ಸುಬ್ಬಯ್ಯ B.A., LL.B.,

ಮ|| ರಾ|| M. N. ಅನಂತಶಂಕರಪ್ಪ B.Sc., LL.B., ಇವರುಗಳಿಗೆ

Respondent — ಬರೆಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ್ನಾಮಾ. Respt. M. Sreenivasaiah

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಮೊಖದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಅಪ್ಪೆರುವರುವಿಗೂ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ವಿವಾದ ಜರುಗಿಸಲಿಕ್ಕೂ ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಆಗುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ವಿಧವಾದ ಆರ್ಡರನ್ನೂ, ಡಿಕ್ರಿಯನ್ನೂ, ಜಾರೀಕ್ರಮ ವಗೈರೆಗಳನ್ನೂ ನಡೆಸಿ ಹಣ ವಸೂಲ್ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ನನಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲಬೇಕಾದ ಡಿಕ್ರಿಮೊಬಲಗು ಶಿಲ್ಕು ಮೊಬಲಗು ಮತ್ತು ರೀಫಂಡಾಗತಕ್ಕ ಮೊಬಲಗು ಮತ್ತು ಸ್ಟಾಂಪನ್ನು ಪಡೆಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ, ದಸ್ತೈವಜು ವಗೈರೆಗಳನ್ನು ವಾಪಸು ಪಡೆಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ ಈ ಮೊಖದ್ದಮಾ ಸಂಬಂಧವಾದ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕಾರ್ಯಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ಸಹ ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ತಮಗೆ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾದ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ಸಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾಡದೆ ಹೋದರಾಗಲಿ ತಮಗೆ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾದ ಫೀಜನ್ನು ಬಾಕಿ ಉಳಿಸಿಕೊಂಡರಾಗಲಿ ತಾವು ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಿಂದ ನನ್ನ ಅನುಮತಿಯಿಲ್ಲದೆ ರಿಟೈರಾಗಲು ಪೂರ್ಣಾಧಿಕಾರವನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಈ ಆಧಾರದ ಮೇಲೆ ತಾವಾಗಲೀ ತಮಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಮತ್ಯಾವ ಲಾಯರಾಗಲೀ ಡಿಟ್ಟೋ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆಸುವ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ನಾನೇ ಖುದ್ದು ಇದ್ದು ನಡೆಸಿದಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಬದ್ಧನಾಗಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

ನನ್ನ ಮೊಕ್ತ್ಯಾರ್ನಾಮಾ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಗೆ ಪರಿಚಯಸ್ಥರು
ನನ್ನ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮ ರುಜು ಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

M. N. Anantha Shankarappa
Mac.

ತಾ|| 11-8-1941.

ಈ ಮೊಕ್ತ್ಯಾರ್ನಾಮಾ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

M. N. Ananthashankarappa

S Subbaiya

ವಿಜಯಲಕ್ಷ್ಮೀ ಪ್ರೆಸ್, ಮಂಡ್ಯ.

XV
72
11-8-41



4 AUG. 1941

Before the Election Commissioner in Bangalore Mysore Camp. Mandya ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ 0-8-0

ಸನ್ 1940 —1941 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ E.P. No. 44 ಮೊಕದ್ದಮಾ ನಂಬರು

By the Advocate
Camp. Clerk
14/8/41

ವಾದಿ		ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ
Subbiah.	VS.	M. Srinivasiah

ಮಂಡ್ಯದಲ್ಲಿರುವ

ಮ|| ರಾ|| ಗಳಾದ ಎಂ. ಮಹಾಬಲರಾವ್, ಬಿ.ಎ., ಎಲ್ಎಲ್.ಬಿ.,

ಮತ್ತು

ಎಂ. ಆರ್. ಸರ್ವೋತ್ತಮರಾವ್, ಎಂ.ಎ., ಬಿ.ಎಲ್., ಇವರುಗಳಿಗೆ

pet. ಬರೆಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ್ನಾಮಾ

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಆಖೈರುವರೆಗೂ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ವಿವಾದ ಜರುಗಿಸಲಿಕ್ಕೂ, ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಆಗುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ವಿಧವಾದ ಆರ್ಡರನ್ನೂ, ಡಿಕ್ರಿಯನ್ನೂ, ಜಾರೀಕ್ರಮ ವಗೈರೆಗಳನ್ನೂ ನಡೆಸಿ ಹಣ ವಸೂಲ್ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ನನಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲಬೇಕಾಗುವ ಡಿಕ್ರಿ ಮೊಬಲಗು ಶಿಲ್ಕು ಮೊಬಲಗು ಮತ್ತು ರೀಫಂಡಾಗತಕ್ಕ ಮೊಬಲಗು ಮತ್ತು ಸ್ಟಾಂಪನ್ನು ಪಡೆಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ, ದಸ್ತೈವಜು ವಗೈರೆಗಳನ್ನು ಮತ್ತು ಈ ಸಂಬಂಧವಾದ ಇತರ ಕಾರ್ಯಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ವಾಪಸುಪಡೆಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ ಸಹ ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ತಮಗೆ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ ಅಧಿಕಾರವನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

ಈ ಆಧಾರದಮೇಲೆ ತಾವಾಗಲೀ ತಮಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಮತ್ತಾವ ಲಾಯರಾಗಲೀ ಡಿಟೋ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆಸುವ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ನಾನು ಖುದ್ದು ಇದ್ದು ನಡೆಸಿದಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಬದ್ಧನಾಗಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

ಸುಬ್ಬಯ್ಯ.

ತಾ|| 14/8/1941.

ಈ ಮೊಕ್ತ್ಯಾರ್ನಾಮಾ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

M. Mahabalarao

ಈ ಮೊಕ್ತ್ಯಾರ್ನಾಮಾ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನ್ನ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮ ರುಜು ಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

M. Mahabalarao

COURT FEE — EIGHT ANNAS

H8 7-6-41

10

Before the Election Commissioner in Mysore Bangalore ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

1940-1941 ನೇ Mis ನಂಬರು 44

ವಾದಿ ಯಾ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ್ | | ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ ಯಾ ಅಪೊನೆಂಟ್

Subbiah ... Vs. ... Sreenivasiah.

M. P. Somasekhara Rao, BA., LL.B.,
Nittoor Sreenivasa Rau, B.Sc., B.L.,
K. R. Gopi vallabha Iyengar, B.A., B.L.,
Advocates, Bangalore City.
~~ಮ॥ ರಾ॥ ಕೆ. ಟಿ. ಭಾಷ್ಯಂಅಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್, ಬಿ. ಎ., ಬಿ. ಎಲ್. ಮತ್ತು~~

~~ಎಂ. ಶೇಷಾದ್ರಿ, ಬಿ. ಎ., ಎಲ್. ಎಲ್. ಬಿ., ಅಡ್ವೊಕೇಟ್ಸುರವರಿಗೆ~~

Petitioner ಬರೆಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ್ ನಾಮಾ.

ಈ ಮೊಖದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಆಖೈರುವರೆಗೂ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ವಿವಾದ ನಡೆಸುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಆಗುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ವಿಧವಾದ ಆರ್ಡರನ್ನೂ ಡಿಕ್ರಿಯನ್ನೂ, ಜಾರೀಕ್ರಮ ನಡೆಸಿ ಹಣ ವಸೂಲ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೂ, ನನಗೆ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ಸಲ್ಲಬೇಕಾಗಿರುವ ಡಿಕ್ರಿ ಮೊಬಲಗು, ಶಿಲ್ಕು ಮೊಬಲಗು, ಪ್ರೋಸೆಸ್‌ಸ್ಟಾಂಪು, ದಾವಾಸ್ಟಾಂಪು ಸಹ ಪಡೆಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ, ದಸ್ತೈವಜು ವಗೈರೆಗಳನ್ನು ವಾಪಸುಪಡೆಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ, ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಕೇಸಿನಲ್ಲಿ ರಾಜಿ ವಗೈರೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯನ್ನು ವಾಪಸ್ ಪಡೆಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ ಸಹ ನಿಮಗೆ ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ತಾವಾಗಲಿ ಯಾ ತಮಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಮತ್ಯಾವ ಲಾಯರಾಗಲಿ ಡಿಟೋ ಮೊಖದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆಯಿಸುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನೂ ನಾನು ಖುದ್ದು ಇದ್ದು ನಡೆಸಿದಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಬದ್ಧನಾಗಿ ಇರುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ತಾರೀಖು | ರುಜು ಸುಬ್ಬಯ್ಯ. ವಕೀಲ.

ಈ ಮೊಖ್ತ್ಯಾರುನಾಮಾ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಗೆ ಪರಿಚಯಸ್ಥರಾಗಿ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮ ರುಜು ಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

M.P.S.

ಅಡ್ವೊಕೇಟ್ಸ್

ಈ ಮೊಖ್ತ್ಯಾರುನಾಮಾ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿದೇನೆ.

M. P. Somasekhara Rao

N. Sreenivasa Rau 7.6.41

~~ಅಡ್ವೊಕೇಟ್ಸ್~~

K. R. Gopivallabha Iyengar

ಇಂಡಿಯಾ ಪ್ರೆಸ್, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಸಿಟಿ.

A. N. RAMA RAO, B.A., B.L.
ADVOCATE
Balepet, Bangalore City

COURT FEE
EIGHT ANNAS

In The Court of

RA E Mis NO. 44 OF 1940 – 1941.

Appellant-~~Plaintiff~~ *Respondent-~~Defendant~~*

	Vs.	

1. I the Respondent abovenamed do, by these presents, retain Mr. A. N. Rama Rao, B.A., B.L., Advocate, to appear and conduct the above case on my behalf to judgment.

2. I further empower him to do all necessary acts in the above case, to execute any decree or order that may be passed in my behalf, and to receive from the Court all documents, refunds and all sums of money that I may be entitled to get and grant receipts therefor.

3. I also undertake to confirm and ratify all the acts done by the said Advocate or by any other Advocate on his behalf in so doing.

BANGALORE CITY,
28/6/1941.

Personally known to me and signed in my presence.—ACCEPTED.

Advocate.

28/6/41

Advocate for Respondent

Sourashtra Press, Chickpet, Bangalore City

COURT FEE
ONE ANNA
24 AUG 1941

XV

76/41 42

Before the Election Commissioner in Mysore.

Camp Mandya. 20

R. A. E. Misc. No. 44 of 1940-41.

14 AUG. 194

Petr:- Subbiah.

0-1-0
By the ...
with ...
Camp ...
14/8/

vs

Respondent:- M. Srinivasaiah.

Hg: 2-9-1941

"memo"

C.R. 25/41

A copy of the order sheet in the above case may kindly be furnished to the undersigned.

Mandya } 14/8/41 }.

... for Petr.

Grant
N.R.C
for Shr.
14/8/41

Copy ready ...
14/8/41

21

Hc 340/41
~~en mall 7~~

The Amildar
Malavalli Taluk.

To The Election Commissioner
in Mysore Bangalore.

Sir,

In obedience to your order No. 1/40 D/ 21st May 1941 I beg to submit herewith two ~~applications~~ nominations of candidates with their enclosures received from the petitioners Viz., one received from the petitioner Mr. Subbaiah and the other from Mr M. Srinivasaiah, the respondent, for favour of kind perusal. In this connection I beg to submit that are no other documents in respect of this case as the respondent was returned unopposed to the Representative Assembly urban Constituency in Malavalli Town.

I beg to remain
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
[signature] 26/5
Amildar
25/5/41

F. 58
27-5-41.
on — 27 MAY 1941
This relates to 44/war
File with the record

For Insurance Notices see reverse.

Stamps affixed except in case of uninsured letters of not more than the initial weight prescribed in the Post and Telegraph Guide or on which no acknowledgment is due.

Rs. A

Date-stam

Received a registered*

ressed to

ials of Receiving Officer.

*Write here "letter," "postcard," "packet" or "parce with the word "insured" before it when necessary.

Insured for Rs. (in figures) ______ *(in words)* ______

Weight | *Rates*

Insurance fee Rs. ____ *As.* ____ *(in words).* | *Tolas*

NOTICE (1).—The Post Office is not responsible for damage in the case of Inland registered articles, unless also insured.

(2). The special conditions and restrict to insurance which will be found in the current edition of and Telegraph Guide are binding upon every sender of an postal article by virtue of rules prescribed under the Ind Office Act, 1898.

Sent by Regpost

No 163
13/8

[illegible]

[illegible]

R A E No 44 of 40-41 23

[illegible] Vs Respondent.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

13/8/41

Rajaiah (signature)
for Sheristedar.

No. 101/40-41
Enc.

OFFICE OF THE ELECTION COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE, BANGALORE. DATE 21-5-1941.

From

The Election Commissioner,
New Public Offices,
BANGALORE.

24

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mandya District,
M A N D Y A.

Sir,

Re: Mis No. 44 of 40-41 (Malavalli Town Urban Constituency).

PETITIONER: Mr. Subbiah

RESPONDENT: Mr. M. Srinivasaiah

-- *** --

I have the honour to request that the docu mentioned in the margin relating to the above mentioned Election Petition filed in connection with the Representative Assembly may kindly be sent to this Court at an early date.

No. 4200 22 MAY 1941 MANDYA DIST.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

ELECTION COMMISSIONER.

1. Notices of Candidature presented by the Petitioner and Respondent.

2. Orders passed by the Returning Officer on them.

3. The connected Electoral Roll.

4. Other connected papers.

oo-0-oo

CL- 3010/40-41.

Office of the Deputy Commissio
Mandya District,
Mandya, Dated 22-3-1941.

M E M O.

Forwarded to the Amildar Malavalli Taluk,

for immediate transmission of the required documents direct to the ELECTION COMMISSIONER by registered post and to intimate this Office at once.

P 8/4 xxx
235/41
HE 343/40

Deputy Commissioner,
Mandya District.

ದೃಢೀಕೃತ ಪ್ರತಿ 18-3-41 ನೇ ...

25

Certified that copy of this notice of Candidature is affixed to the notice Board of Taq office Vide Rule 94

Sd/ D.G. Narayan Singh
Returning Officer
3/1/41

III ನೇಯ ನಮೂನೆ.

ಉಮೇದುವಾರರಾಗಿ ನಿಲ್ಲಲು ಇಚ್ಛಿಸುವವನು ಸೂಚಿಸಿದ ಕ್ರಮದ ಉಮೇದುವಾರಿಕೆ ನೋಟೀಸು

(89 ನೇಯ ನಿಬಂಧನೆ)

...1-41
...3.50 p.M.
submitted

ಪ್ರಜಾಪ್ರತಿನಿಧಿ ಸಭೆ.

ಉಮೇದುವಾರನು ಚುನಾವಣೆಗಾಗಿ ನಿಲ್ಲಬೇಕೆಂದು ಇಚ್ಛಿಸುವ ಚುನಾವಣಾ ...	ಮಲ್ಲವಳ್ಳಿ ... (ಅ... ವರಿಯಾ)
ತಂದೆಯ ~~ಗಂಡನ~~ ಹೆಸರು	ದೊಡ್ಡ ಕೃಷ್ಣ ನಂಜಯ್ಯ ವರು
ವಯಸ್ಸು ಮತ್ತು ಹುಟ್ಟಿದ ತಾರೀಖು	35 ವರ್ಷಗಳು.
ವಿಳಾಸ	ಸುಬ್ಬಯ್ಯ. ದೊಡ್ಡ ಕೃಷ್ಣ ನಂಜಯ್ಯನವರ ... ಅಂಗಡಿ. ಮಲ್ಲವಳ್ಳಿ.
ಗಂಡಸೇ ಅಥವಾ ಹೆಂಗಸೇ	ಗಂಡಸು.
* ಯಾವ ವರ್ಗಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದವರು	ಸಾರ್ವತ್ರಿಕ ವರ್ಗದ.
† ಚುನಾವಣೆ ದಾರರ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಉಮೇದುವಾರರ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ	358.

ನಾನು ಚುನಾವಣೆಗಾಗಿ (ಉಮೇದುವಾರನಾಗಿ / ~~ಉಮೇದುವಾರಳಾಗಿ~~) ನಿಲ್ಲಲು ಅರ್ಹತೆಯುಳ್ಳವನು ಇದ್ದೇನೆ ... ಪೂರ್ವಕವಾಗಿ ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ ನನ್ನ ಚುನಾವಣೆ ಮುಖಾಂತರ ಕರಸ್ಥಾನ (... ಕರಸ್ಥಾನ) ಕೆ, ಪ್ರತಿನಿಧಿಯನ್ನು ನೇಮಕ ಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ತಾರೀಖು 2-1-1941

ಸುಬ್ಬಯ್ಯ (ಎಂದಿದೆ)
ಉಮೇದುವಾರನ ರುಜು.
~~ಉಮೇದುವಾರಳ~~

ಅಟರ್ನಿ ಅಥವಾ ಏಜೆಂಟರು ... ಅಧಿಕಾರದ ... 1941 ನೇಯ ... ತಾರೀಖಿನ ದಿನ ಅಥವಾ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಮುಂಚೆ ... ಉಮೇದುವಾರರಾಗಿ ನಿಲ್ಲಲು ಇಚ್ಛಿಸುವವನು ... ಉಮೇದುವಾರಿಕೆ ನೋಟೀಸು ... ಕೊಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದಲ್ಲ.

(ಹಿಂಭಾಗದಲ್ಲಿ ಮುದ್ರಿಸಿರುವ ಸೂಚನೆಗಳನ್ನು ದಯವಿಟ್ಟು ನೋಡಿ).

ಸೂಚನೆ. * ಈ ಕಲಮಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಮುಸಲ್ಮಾನ ವರ್ಗಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದವರೆ, ಭಾರತೀಯ ಕ್ರೈಸ್ತ ವರ್ಗಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದವರೆ, ಯೂರೋಪಿಯನ್ ವರ್ಗಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದವರೆ, ಆಂಗ್ಲೋ ಇಂಡಿಯನ್ ವರ್ಗಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದವರೆ ಅಥವಾ ಸಾರ್ವತ್ರಿಕ ವರ್ಗಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದವರೆ, ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ನಮೂದಿಸಬೇಕು. ವಿಶೇಷ ಸ್ವಭಾವದ ಚುನಾವಣೆ ... ಸಂಬಂಧದಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಕಲಮಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಭರ್ತಿ ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗಿಲ್ಲ.

[illegible]

2

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 6.

[illegible] 1941 [illegible]

[illegible] 10-1-41 [illegible] 3-50 [illegible] 2-1-41

Sd/.
D. G. Narayan Singh.
[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 19 [illegible]

I have Scrutinised the eligibility of the cand
and find the following irregularities in the Notice of
candidature

1 The Notice of Candidature was delivered in per
on 2-1-41 at 3-50 PM and not between the hours of 11 o'cl
in the fore noon and 3 o'clock in the afternoon as requir
by Rule 89 of Mysore R. A. Rules 1940.

2 On account of omission to note the d
Birth in col 3, the notice of Candidature can
construed as having been completed in the manner
prescribed in Form III as required by Rule 89

As an account of these irregularities there has been failure to comply with the provisions of Rule 89, I find that the notice of Candidature is not valid and therefore reject it under Rule 96 cl 1(b) read with Rule 89

Sd/ D. G. Narayan Singh
Returning officer
10/1/41



Copy.
P. Chikkahoy
Amildar

Copy applied on.
Copy Ready on 31/3/41
Copy delivered on
Copied by P. Vedadri
Compared by. C. N. R. Narasimha murthy
No of words R 220 E 110.
Copying fee 0-9-0 ob-
Comparing fee 0-3-6

1-2-6

6 2

27

En 2

ಮಳವಳ್ಳಿ ರೈತ್ರ ಜಾತ್ರ ಸನಿಧಿ ಸಭೆಯ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರಿಗ

ಆಫೀಸರವರ ಸನ್ನಿಧಾನಂಗಳಿಗೆ.

ಮಳವಳ್ಳಿ ಟೌನ್ ರಸಬಾದಲ್ಲಿರುವ ...

ಸ್ವಾಮಿ. ೩ ಅಪ್ಲಿಕೇಷನ್

ಈದಾಗ ಶ್ರೀ ಜಾತ್ರ ಸನಿಧಿ ಸಭೆಗೆ ನಾನು ಮಳವಳ್ಳಿ ಟೌನ
ರವಾಗ ಒಬ್ಬ ಉಮೇದುವಾರನಾಗಿ ನಿಂತಿರು ತ್ತೇನೆ ಯಾಗಿನ
ಕೆಲಸಗಳು ... ಗುರುತು ... ಬಲ
... ಬೇಕೆಂದು ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥನೆ. ಮತ್ತು ಈ ರೂಢ ...
ಜಾತ್ರ ಸನಿಧಿ ಸಭೆಯ ಉಮೇದುವಾರಿಕೆ ಅಪ್ಲಿಕೇಷನ್ ...
ಮತ್ತು 2.1.41 ... ರೂ 150/- ...
... ನೆ.

ತಾ 2.1.1941

For Insurance Notices see reverse.

134 Stamps affixed, except in case of uninsured letters of not more than the initial weight prescribed in the Post and Telegraph Guide or on which no acknowledgment is due.

Rs. As.
Date-stamp

eceived a registered* ________________

ssed to ________________

____

*Write here "letter," "postcard," packet or "parcel" with the word "insured" before it when necessary.

f Receiving Officer.

ured for Rs. (in figures) ________ *(in words)* ________

Weight } *Rates*

surance fee Rs. ____ *As.* ____ *(in words).* } *Tolas*

AVENUE ... 27 MAY 91

NOTICE (1).—The Post Office is not responsible f
damage in the case of inland registered articles, unles
also insured.

(2).—The special conditions and restri
to insurance which will be found in the current edition
and Telegraph Guide are binding upon every sender of a
postal article in virtue of rules prescribed under the P
Office Act, 1898.

Notice to show cause.
General Form.
Form No. 71 (Civil).

IN THE COURT OF the Election ... in Mysore

RAE nos 44/40 to 41

SUIT No. OF 19 —19

Applicant } vs. { Opponent M. Sreenivasaiya.

To the petr and ~~opponent~~ Respondent.

Whereas the abovenamed petr has made application to the Court ~~that~~ as per copy attached herewith

You are hereby warned to appear in this Court in person or by a Pleader duly instructed on the 7th day of June 1941 at 11 o'clock in the forenoon, to show cause against the application, failing wherein, the said application will be heard and determined *ex parte*.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this 19th day of May 1941

By order
Judge

WD 04039—GPB—4,600—23-9-39

R. D 2/2-1-41

Finl. 2, ARTICLE 5.] ORIGINAL. (ಅಸಲು) [M. C. A. C., Vol. I.

ಬಜಾನೆಗೆ ಹಣ ಪಾವತಿ ಮಾಡುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಚಲನ.

Chellan for Payment of Money into the Treasury.

This receipt is not binding or valid on Government unless it is signed by the (1) Accountant/Sheristedar and (2) Treasurer or Treasury Officer for sums over Rs. 500/Shroff

in District/Taluk Treasury. 16/2/1/41

No. ....

ಬಜಾನೆಯ ಹೆಸರು

Chellan for payment of money into the Treasury at.......

By whom paid ಯಾರಿಂದ	On what account ಯಾವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ	Head of account in the treasury accounts ಯಾವ ರೆಖ್ಖಕ್ಕೆ ಜಮಾ ಆಗತಕ್ಕದ್ದು	Amount ಮೊಬಲಗು Rs. ರೂ.	a. ಆ.	p. ಪೈ
[illegible handwriting] (In words) ಮೊಬಲಗು ಅಕ್ಷರದಲ್ಲಿ	[illegible handwriting]	R. D.	150	.	
		Total .... ಜುಮ್ಲಾ	150	.	

Date 19 .

ತಾರೀಕು 2. 1. 1941

Received Rupees.......150-0-0......................

Signature of Remitter.

ಹಣ ಪಾವತಿ ಮಾಡುವವರ ರುಜು.

and credited under........R D..........(*vide* item No. ...16/2...of subsidiary register for..................)

Treasury

2/1........1941.

TALUK TREASURY -2 ... 1941

Initials of Treasurer/Shroff.

Initials of Head Accountant/Naghdi Gumasta.

Signature of Treasury Officer.

FORM 2.

Instructions. (*Vide* Article 5, Mysore Civil Account Code, Volume I.)

1. Any person paying money into a Government Treasury will present with it a chellan in this form which will show distinctly the nature of payment, the person or officer on whose account it is made and the head of account in the treasury accounts, to which the amount has to be credited, and will thus contain all the information necessary for the preparation of the receipt to be given in exchange.

2. The chellan should be presented in duplicate. One copy (Original) will be returned to the tenderer duly signed as a receipt and the other (Duplicate) retained in the Treasury for record.

3. Receipts for sums less than Rs. 500 do not require the signature of the Treasury Officer but only of the Accountant and the Treasurer, except receipts for money paid for service stamps, which should always be signed by the Treasury Officer. Receipts in a Taluk Treasury will be signed by Sheristedar and Shroff.

4. Receipts should bear the name of the treasury and be stamped with the treasury stamp (seal). It should distinctly show the date of issue, the designation of the officer granting it and the head of account to which the amount was credited.

OFFICE OF THE ELECTION COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE, BANGALORE. DATE 21-5-1

From

31

The Election Commissioner,
New Public Offices,
BANGALORE.

TO

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mandya District,
M A N D Y A.

Sir,

Re: Mis No. 44 of 40-41 (Malavalli Town Urban Constituency).

PETITIONER: Mr. Subbiah

RESPONDENT: Mr. H. Srinivasaiah

--- oOo ---

I have the honour to request that the documents mentioned in the margin relating to the above mentioned Election Petition filed in connection with the Representat Assembly may kindly be sent to this Court at an early date

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

ELECTION COMMISSIONER.

1. Notices of Candidature presented by the Petitioner and Respondent.
2. Orders passed by the Returning Officer on them.
3. The connected Electoral Roll.
4. Other connected -----

oo-0-oo

From.

The Amildar. 33

Seringapatam Taluk

Seringapatam.

To.

The Elections Commiss

new public office

Bangalore

No. $\frac{241.}{39-40.}$ H.C.

Dated. 31. 5. 1941.

Sir,

In Continuation of this

No H.C. $\frac{241.}{39.40.}$ dated 23-5-194

the election papers of Rural Cons

of Seringapatam Taluk, and

asking an acknoledgement. I

state that the acknoledge

for the receipt of the paper

not been received and

est that the acknoledgem

may kindly be sent.

I beg to remai

Sir

Your most Obdi

Servant.

[signature]

Amildar.

Seringapata

Sf.

34 R.A.Ems 44/40 M

Acknowledgment.

- IX/163 13/8/41

(To be returned to office of posting for delivery to sender.)

RECEIVED a registered* ____ No. ____

addressed to (name) Mr. Subbiah Son of Dodda Putta Nanjiah. cloth merchant.

† Insured for Rs. x. Malavalli Town x

† Weighing (*in words*) ____ tolas ____ rates

Signature of addressee [signature]

Date of delivery 19 - 8 - 1941

* Write here "letter," "post-card," "packet," or "parcel," as the case may be, preceded by the word "Insured" if the article is insured.

† To be filled up only in the case of insured articles, and to be scored out in the case of other articles.

MANDYA
21 AUG. 41

BANGALORE
8.30 A.M.
22 AUG. 41

21 AUG 41
5.30 P.M.

On Postal Service.

To ... Election Commissi...

Sender's name ... officer. Cam...
and address. Camp Mandya

New public offices
Bangalore

Name-stamp of
office of posting. MANDY..

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 8-2-41 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] Shanbogue

[illegible] 31-12-40

Reports Draft

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

No. R.L. 1569 / R.A. 24-41-73. General and Revenue Secretariat,

Dated Bangalore, 19th February ~~January~~ 1942

NOTIFICATION.

Orders by the Government of His Highness the Maharaja unde the provisions of Rule 191 of the Representative Assembly Rules 1940 in respect of R.A.E. Mis 44 of 40-41, on the file of the Election Commissioner:-

WHEREAS Mr. M. Srinivasiah, Shanbogue, Malvalli to - Dt. was declared by the Returning Officer, under sub-rule 4 of Rule 101 of the ~~Legislative Council~~ Representative Assembly Rules, 1940, to have been duly elected as a member of the Representative Assembly from the ....Malvalli..town General ~~rural~~ urban Constituency

AND WHEREAS an election petition was duly presented to Government under Clause (a) of sub-rule (1) of Rule 172 of the aforesaid Rules by Mr. M. Subbiah, Cloth Merchant ...... Malvalli town, Mandya Dt. ......, a candidate for the said constituency;

AND WHEREAS the Government of His Highness the Maharaja, in exercise of the powers conferred by the provisions of sub-rule (1) of Rule 178 of the Representative Assembly Rules, 1940, by Notification No. 3834-C.B. 161-40-10, dated 16th April 1941, appointed Mr. C. Narayanaswamy Naidu, B.A., B.L., as Election Commissioner, for the trial of election petitions and by Notification No. Rl. 3478-R.A. 113-40-3, dated 18th April 1941, transferred this petition to the said Commissioner for a report in accordance with the provisions of Rule 178 of the aforesaid rules;

AND WHEREAS the said Election Commissioner has in accordance with the provisions of sub-rule (3) of Rule 188 of the aforesaid Rules, forwarded to Government his report dismissing

the petition,

NOW THEREFORE, the Government of His Highness the Maharaja, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-rule (2) of Rule 191 of the aforesaid rules, are hereby pleased, in accordance with the report of the Election Commissioner, to declare that Mr. ....M. Srinivasiah...... the returned candidate has been duly elected to the Representative Assembly from the ....Malla Valli Town..... General ~~Rural~~ Urban Constituency and to order further that the petition be dismissed and that the Petitioner M. Subbiah.................. shall pay Rs. 50/- as costs awarded in the Election Commissioner 's Court to the respondent Mr...M. Srinivasiah.........

By Order,

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
LAW DEPARTMENT.

To

The Compiler, Mysore Gazette, for publication in Part III of the Gazette and for supplying 25 copies to the Secretariat.

MO No. R.L. 1570 and R.L. 1571.

~~The Compiler Mysore G~~
Copy of the above is fd. w. c. to the D. C. Mandya Dt. and to the Secretary RA L.C. for inform.

B. O.

For Secy to Govt
Law Dept

12/2

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

No. R.L.1569/ RA.24.41.73. General and Revenue Secretariat,

Dated Bangalore, 19th February 1942

NOTIFICATION.

Orders by the Government of His Highness the Maharaja under the provisions of Rule 191 of the Representative Assembly Rules, 1940, in respect of R.A.E. Mis. 44 of 40-41, on the file of the Election Commissioner.

WHEREAS Mr. M. Srinivasiah, Shanbogue, Malvalli Town, Mandya District, was declared by the Returning Officer, under sub-rule 1 of Rule 101 of the Representative Assembly Rules, 1940, to have been duly elected as a member of the Representative Assembly from the Malvalli Town General Urban Constituency;

AND WHEREAS an election petition was duly presented to Government under Clause (a) of sub-rule (1) of Rule 172 of the aforesaid Rules by Mr. Subbiah, Cloth Merchant, Malvalli Town, Mandya District, a candidate for the said constituency;

AND WHEREAS the Government of His Highness the Maharaja, in exercise of the powers conferred by the provisions of sub-rule (1) of Rule 178 of the Representative Assembly Rules, 1940 by Notification No.3834-C.B.161-40-10, dated 16th April 1941, appointed Mr. C. Narayanaswamy Naidu, B.A., B.L., as Election Commissioner, for the trial of election petitions and by Notification No.R1.3478-R.A. 113-40-3, dated 18th April 1941, transferred this petition to the said Commissioner for a report in accordance with the provisions of Rule 178 of the aforesaid rules;

AND WHEREAS the said Election Commissioner has in accordance with the provisions of sub-rule (3) of Rule 188 of

the aforesaid Rules, forwarded to Government his report dismissing the petition.

NOW THEREFORE, the Government of His Highness the Maharaja, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-rule (2) of Rule 191 of the aforesiad rules, are hereby pleased, in accordance with the report of the Election Commissioner, to declare that Mr. M. Srinivasiah the returned candidate has been duly elected to the Representative Assembly from the Malavalli Town General Urban Constituency and to order further that the petition be dismissed and that the petitioner Mr. Subbiah, shall pay Rs.50/- as costs awarded in the Election Commissioner's Court to the respondent Mr. M.Srinivasiah.

By Order,

Sd. H.V. NARAYANA RAO,
Secretary to Government,
Law Department.

To

The Compiler, Mysore Gazette, for publication in Part III of the Gazette and for supplying 25 copies to the Secretariat.

Memo No. R.L. 1570 and R.L. 1571. Dated Bangalore 19th February 1942.

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to:

1) The Deputy Commissioner, Mandya Dt.,
2) The Secretary, R.A. and L.C.,

for information.

By Order,

B.K. Ramakrishnaiya

For Secretary to Government,
Law Department.

SRR

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

No. R.L.1569 / R.A. 24-41-73.

General and Revenue Secretariat,

Dated Bangalore, 19th February 1942.

NOTIFICATION.

Orders by the Government of His Highness the Maharaja under the provisions of Rule 191 of the Representative Asseml Rules, 1940, in respect of R.A.E. Mis. 44 of 40-41, on the file of the Election Commissioner.

WHEREAS Mr. M. Srinivasiah, Shanbogue, Malvalli Town, Mandya District, was declared by the Returning Officer, unde: sub-rule 1 of Rule 101 of the Representative Assembly Rules, 1940, to have been duly elected as a member of the Represent: tive Assembly from the Malvalli Town General Urban Constitue:

AND WHEREAS an election petition was duly presented to Government under Clause (a) of sub-rule (1) of Rule 172 of the aforesaid Rules by Mr. Subbiah, Cloth Merchant, Malva Town, Mandya District, a candidate for the said constituency

AND WHEREAS the Government of His Highness the Mahara in exercise of the powers conferred by the provisions of sub rule (1) of Rule 178 of the Representative Assembly Rules, 1 by Notification No.3834-C.B.161-40-10, dated 16th April 1941 appointed Mr. C. Narayanaswamy Naidu, B.A., B.L., as Electio Commissioner, for the trial of election petitions and by Noti fication No.Rl.3478-R.A. 113-40-3, dated 18th April 1941, transferred this petition to the said Commissioner for a repc in accordance with the provisions of Rule 178 of the aforesai rules;

AND WHEREAS the said Election Commissioner has in accordance with the provisions of sub-rule (3) of Rule 188 o:

the aforesaid Rules, forwarded to Government his report dismissing the petition.

NOW THEREFORE, the Government of His Highness the Maharaja, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-rule (2) of Rule 191 of the aforesiad rules, are hereby pleased, in accordance with the report of the Election Commissioner, to declare that Mr. M. Srinivasiah the returned candidate has been duly elected to the Representative Assembly from the Malavalli Town General Urban Constituency and to order further that the petition be dismissed and that the petitic Mr. Subbiah, shall pay Rs.50/- as costs awarded in the Elec tion Commissioner's Court to the respondent Mr. M.Srinivasi

By Order,

B K Ramakrishna Rajaya

for Secretary to Government,
Law Department.

To

The Compiler, Mysore Gazette, for publication in Part III of the Gazette and for supplying 25 copies to

the aforesaid Rules, forwarded to Government his report dismissing the petition.

NOW THEREFORE, the Government of His Highness the Maharaja, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-rule (2) of Rule 191 of the aforesaid rules, are hereby pleased, in accordance with the report of the Election Commissioner, to declare that Mr. M. Srinivasiah the returned candidate has been duly elected to the Representative Assembly from the Malavalli Town General Urban Constituency and to order further that the petition be dismissed and that the petiti Mr. Subbiah, shall pay Rs.50/- as costs awarded in the El tion Commissioner's Court to the respondent Mr. M.Sriniva

By Order,

For Secretary to Government,
Law Department.

To

The Compiler, Mysore Gazette, for publication in Part III of the Gazette and for supplying 25 copies to the Secretariat.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

No. Rl. 15.69 / R.A. 24-41

General and Revenue Secretariat,

Dated Bangalore, 19th February 1942.

NOTIFICATION.

Orders by the Government of His Highness the Maharaja under the provisions of Rule 191 of the Representative Assembly Rules, 1940, in respect of R.A.E. Mis. 44 of 40-41, on the file of the Election Commissioner.

WHEREAS Mr. M. Srinivasiah, Shanbogue, Malvalli Town, Mandya District, was declared by the Returning Officer, under sub-rule 1 of Rule 101 of the Representative Assembly Rules, 1940, to have been duly elected as a member of the Representative Assembly from the Malvalli Town General Urban Constituency;

AND WHEREAS an election petition was duly presented to Government under Clause (a) of sub-rule (1) of Rule 172 of the said Rules by Mr. Subbiah, Cloth Merchant, Malvalli Town, Mandya District, a candidate for the said constituency;

AND WHEREAS the Government of His Highness the Maharaja, in exercise of the powers conferred by the provisions of sub-rule (1) of Rule 178 of the Representative Assembly Rules, 1940 by Notification No.3834-C.B.161-40-10, dated 16th April 1941, appointed Mr. C. Narayanaswamy Naidu, B.A., B.L., as Election Commissioner, for the trial of election petitions and by Notification No.Rl.3478-R.A. 113-40-3, dated 18th April 1941, transferred this petition to the said Commissioner for a report in accordance with the provisions of Rule 178 of the aforesaid Rules;

AND WHEREAS the said Election Commissioner has in accordance with the provisions of sub-rule (3) of Rule 188 of